



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012)

(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: **BOARD OF PILOTAGE COMMISSIONERS**

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR _____ ; or | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original Notice |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____ ; or | <input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental Notice to WSR _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1). | <input type="checkbox"/> Continuance of WSR _____ |

Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject)

WAC 363-116-185: Pilotage Rates for the Grays Harbor Pilotage District

Hearing location(s):

**2901 Third Avenue
1st Floor, Agate Conference Room
Seattle, WA 98121**

Date: **October 20, 2016** Time: **1:00 p.m.**

Submit written comments to:

Name: **Sheri Jeanne Tonn, Chair**
Address: **2901 Third Avenue, Suite 500
Seattle, WA 98121**

e-mail larsonp@wsdot.wa.gov

fax **(206) 515-3906** by (date) **October 13, 2016**

Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact

Shawna Erickson by **October 17, 2016**

TTY () _____ or **(206) 515-3647**

Date of intended adoption: **October 20, 2016**

(Note: This is NOT the effective date)

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:

The purpose of the proposal is to establish a 2017 Grays Harbor Pilotage District annual tariff.

The proposal as detailed calls for the following adjustment:

Pension Charge: an increase from \$463 to \$528 *

* As the administrator of Grays Harbor pension funds for retired Grays Harbor pilots, Puget Sound Pilots provides this calculation.

Reasons supporting proposal: **RCW 88.16.035 requires that a tariff be set annually.**

Statutory authority for adoption: **Chapter 88.16 RCW**

Statute being implemented: **RCW 88.16 .035**

Is rule necessary because of a:

- | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Federal Law? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| Federal Court Decision? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| State Court Decision? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
- If yes, CITATION:

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: **September 06, 2016**

TIME: **8:50 AM**

WSR 16-18-077

DATE **September 6, 2016**

NAME **Peggy Larson**

SIGNATURE

TITLE **Executive Director**

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:

Current rates for the Grays Harbor Pilotage District expire on December 31, 2016. New rates must be set accordingly.

All requirements necessary to amend the existing Grays Harbor Pilotage District tariff as set forth in RCW 53.08 have been met.

The Board may adopt a rule that varies from the proposed rule upon consideration of presentations and written comments from the public and any other interested parties.

Name of proponent: (person or organization)

Port of Grays Harbor

- Private
 Public
 Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting..... Peggy Larson	2901 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98121	(206) 515-3904
Implementation... Board of Pilotage Commissioners	2901 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98121	(206) 515-3904
Enforcement.....Board of Pilotage Commissioners	2901 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98121	(206) 515-3904

Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has a school district fiscal impact statement been prepared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?

Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact statement.

A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

phone () _____

fax () _____

e-mail _____

No. Explain why no statement was prepared.

The proposed rule is being considered in the context of the required annual review of the rates charged for pilotage services.

The application of the proposed revisions is clear in the description of the proposal and its anticipated effects as well as the attached proposed tariff.

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?

Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

phone () _____

fax () _____

e-mail _____

No: Please explain:

RCW 34.05.328 does not apply to the adoption of these rules. The Washington State Board of Pilotage Commissioners is not a listed agency in RCW 34.05.328(5)(a)(i).